UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/520,405	03/08/2000	Michael G. Martinek	IGT1P369/SH-052	1300	
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 05/16/200 VER LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 7025			KARKHANIS, AASHISH		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/520,405	MARTINEK ET AL.	1	•	
Examiner	Art Unit	******		
Aashish Karkhanis	3714			

Advisory Action	09/520,405   MARTINEK ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aashish Karkhanis	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ļ	** * * *
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) to	Avoid dismissal of the appeal Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	);	mphant / thicharliefit (F 10E-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>58-75</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>		condition for allowance because:
13. Other:	( Torobrody Paper No(s).	orben Patr
	0	CORBETT B. COBURN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Proposed amendments change the scope of the claimed invention, and will require a new search. Specifically, a new search will be required to examine new claim language about the relationship between shared objects.